ДЭД БҮТЦИЙН БҮТЭЭН БАЙГУУЛАЛТАД ТАЛУУДЫН ОЙЛГОЛЦОЛ, ХАМТЫН АЖИЛЛАГААГ БЭХЖҮҮЛЭХ ГОВИЙН ЗАГВАР: МОНГОЛЫН ТУРШЛАГЫГ ТӨВ АЗИД

A Report on Benefit Sharing, Conflict Resolution and Local Collaboration from the Gobi Framework Knowledge Exchange,

18 December 2018, Ulaanbaatar

Summary of Event:

On 18 December, 2018, the Gobi Framework research team¹ gathered 15 experts from Mongolian Government, industry, NGOs, and universities to discuss challenges and opportunities to benefit sharing, conflict resolution and local collaboration in the extractive sector. Discussion focused on understanding to what extent mining companies and government adopted international frameworks such as the IFC's performance standards, ICMM Community Development Toolkit or World Bank Mining Community Development Agreements, amongst others. After examining a case study from Peru, the group reflected on the types of conflicts occurring in the Mongolian mining sector as well as current information sharing practices between mines and local citizens. This dialogue revealed the varied perspectives and experiences of companies, NGOs and government actors in Mongolia and produced insights around best practices for advancing more inclusive infrastructure development in Mongolia and beyond.

This brief report summarizes the key findings from the discussion and provisional recommendations for policy and practice.

Key Findings:

1. Heterogeneity of Practices

The Mongolian mining sector is characterized by a wide range of practices regarding stakeholder engagement and conflict mediation. Across the sector, developers and communities usually orchestrate engagement without reference to a particular standard or published protocol. The IFC Performance Standards² are widely recognized in the industry, but do not provide specific guidance on structuring community-developer relationships. Rather, they detail desirable outcomes without making clear reference as to how to achieve them.

2. Heterogeneity of outcomes and types of conflict

Participants reported a high diversity of outcomes in terms of community-developer relations. Some industry experts reported strong relationships of mutual trust and benefit sharing with communities, whilst other experts cited instances of protracted friction between developers and local stakeholders.

Conflicts generally clustered around environmental concerns around water availability and access, impacts on pasture, and concerns for safety. Additionally, forms of miscommunication were cited, especially related to perceptions of where responsibility

3. Minimal oversight and reporting

Experts reported an industry *status quo* of pursuing stakeholder engagement on a largely independent basis. Government relations consist mainly of interfacing with *soum*-level administrators, while oversight from the national government is predominantly limited to the planning and permitting process.

Additionally, the extractive industry in Mongolia does not employ any regular channels for centralized reporting on stakeholder engagement, environmental protection, or political transparency. This limits the building of institutional knowledge or sharing of experiences across industry and civil society groups.

²https://www.ifc.org/wps/wcm/connect/Topics Ext Content/IFC Extern al Corporate Site/Sustainability-At-IFC/Policies-Standards/Performance-Standards



¹ https://gobiframework.ouce.ox.ac.uk/

ДЭД БҮТЦИЙН БҮТЭЭН БАЙГУУЛАЛТАД ТАЛУУДЫН ОЙЛГОЛЦОЛ, ХАМТЫН АЖИЛЛАГААГ БЭХЖҮҮЛЭХ ГОВИЙН ЗАГВАР: МОНГОЛЫН ТУРШЛАГЫГ ТӨВ АЗИД

4. Mistrust of civil society groups and political operatives Industry representatives reported a widespread mistrust of anti-mining civil society groups. There is a strong perception that local NGOs are funded and recruited by non-local (and perhaps foreign) special interest groups to foment local resistance. Industry experts expressed that these NGOs seem uninterested in the prospects of mutually-beneficial development, and may "poison the well" of stakeholder negotiations.

Underlying this suspicion was the belief that the opaque funding streams supporting these local NGOs may be linked to political operatives rather than groups with *bona fide* concerns about the threats of development.

5. Interest in government-led streamlining and standardsetting

Participants expressed interest in government-led mechanisms that could fill a perceived regulatory void. Industry representatives voiced confidence in the goodwill of the national government in setting standards that would assist with the legitimation of responsible industry operations whilst simultaneously safeguarding the needs of local communities. Additionally, there was interest in the creation of third-party monitoring to ensure reliable evaluations of environmental and social impacts. Participants also expressed the need for more public information sharing opportunities between industry and local citizens.

Recommendations:

The following recommendations are suggested for consideration:

1. Provide a venue for more transparent sharing of conflict resolution and mediation practices that is readily accessible to both industry actors, civil society groups, and government officials. This should include a publicly available database of community-developer contracts across Mongolia.

- 2. Solicit a broad base of input on possible legislation that could create a government mandate to scaffold proactive, inclusive, and transparent conflict resolution and benefit-sharing mechanisms, with cross-sector input (i.e. health, education, business management and anthropology).
- 3. Train local citizen 'champions' who can facilitate communication and information sharing across groups.

The Gobi Framework research team will continue this dialogue with the Mongolian mining sector throughout the coming years. We encourage industry experts, civil society groups, and government officials to contact us with us their insights, comments, and suggestions for how to advance sustainable infrastructure development in Mongolia.

About the team:

The Gobi Framework is developing a toolkit for sustainable infrastructure development aimed at promoting inclusive economic development and social welfare, particularly in the context of Chinese mega-infrastructure initiatives in Mongolia, Kyrgyzstan and Tajikistan. With funding from the Economic and Social Research Council (UK) and the Global Challenges Research Fund (GCRF) this 30 month project is a collaboration between the University of Oxford's School of Geography and the Environment, Independent Research Institute of Mongolia (IRIM) and the University of Central Asia.

Contact Information:

Email: gobiframework@ouce.ox.ac.uk

Website: www.gobiframework@ouce.ox.ac.uk

This brief prepared by:

Stephen Lezak, University of Oxford Dr. Ariell Ahearn, University of Oxford

Byambabaatar Ichinkhorloo, Mongolian National University

